November 2021



Policy Approaches to Healthier Food Banking



AUTHORS: Emily Friedman, JD Joelle Johnson, MPH

Center for Science in the Public Interest www.cspinet.org

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Katelin Hudak and Sara Benjamin-Neelon, of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, for collaborating on the policy scan, Priyanka Shahane for conducting and coding key informant interviews, and Peter Lurie, Laura MacCleery, Sarah Sorscher, Jim Kincheloe, Maya Sandalow, and Elizabeth Campbell for thoughtfully reviewing the report. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health's Lerner Center for Public Health Promotion (JHSPH Lerner Center) and Healthy Eating Research (HER), a program of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, provided generous support that made this report possible. Thank you to the From Now On Fund for helping with the dissemination of this report.

Advisory Committee

The authors thank the members of this project's advisory committee for their valued insight:

Ariel Ardura, JD, Clinical Fellow, Harvard Law	Sarah Kinney, MPA, Director, Healthy Hunger
School Food Law & Policy Clinic	Relief, Partnership for a Healthier America
Gerry Brisson, MA, President and CEO,	Katie Martin, PhD, Executive Director,
Gleaners Community Food Bank of	Foodshare Institute for Hunger Research &
Southeastern Michigan	Solutions
Elizabeth Campbell, MA, RDN, Senior Director, Legislative and Government Affairs, Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics	Marlene Schwartz, PhD, Director, Rudd Center for Food Policy and Obesity Professor, Human Development and Family Sciences, University of Connecticut
Gavle Carlson, MAED, CEO, Montana Food	Connecticut

Bank Network

Policy Institute

Marla Feldman, Senior Program Director,

MAZON: A Jewish Response to Hunger

Sustainability, Ahold Delhaize USA

Christine Gallagher, Manager, Environmental

Ken Hecht, JD, Director of Policy, Nutrition

Hilary Seligman, MD, MAS, Professor of Medicine and of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Director, Food Policy, Health and Hunger Program, Center for Vulnerable Populations, University of California, San Francisco

Laura Vollmer, MPH, RD, Nutrition, Family & Consumer Sciences Advisor, University of California Cooperative Extension

Steven Jennings, Stakeholder Relations & Brand Lead, Health & Sustainability, Ahold Delhaize USA

Center for Science in the Public Interest

The Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI) is America's food and health watchdog. We are a rigorous driver of food system change to support healthy eating, safe food, and the public's health. We transform the built food environment through leading-edge policy innovations grounded in meticulous research and powerful advocacy at the national, state, and local level. We galvanize allies to drive system-wide changes and healthier norms, leveraging the greatest benefits for people facing the greatest risk. CSPI is fiercely independent; we accept no government or corporate grants. Our work is supported by the hundreds of thousands of subscribers to our award-winning Nutrition Action Healthletter (NAH) and from foundations and

individual donors.

For more information on these guidelines, contact:

Joelle Johnson Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI) Email: policy@cspinet.org

Policy Approaches to Healthier Food Banking is available online, free of charge at https://cspinet.org/resource/policy-approaches-healthier-food-banking

Cover Photo: fstop123/iStock

Executive Summary

The COVID-19 pandemic has triggered unprecedented financial and health hardship for millions of U.S. households and placed tremendous stress on the charitable food system (CFS). At least 1 in 5 people, or 60 million individuals, turned to the CFS in 2020,¹ and food banks are serving 55 percent more people than before the pandemic.²

Due to discrimination and structural racism, food and nutrition insecurity disproportionately affect racial and ethnic minorities. While the national food insecurity rate of 10.5 remained constant from 2019 to 2020,³ the rate increased for Black households (19.1 percent to 21.7 percent),⁴ Hispanic households (15.6 percent to 17.2 percent),⁵ and households with children.⁶

The CFS, our nation's network of emergency feeding programs, is meant to be a last resort. Federal food assistance programs, like the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), serve as a first line of defense against food insecurity. SNAP alone provides nine meals for every one that Feeding America food banks provide.⁷ Yet, food assistance benefit levels and coverage are inadequate.⁸ Despite USDA recently increasing SNAP benefits by adjusting the basis for calculating them,⁹ when current pandemic boosts to SNAP end benefits will only average about \$1.80 per person, per meal.¹⁰ And eligibility rules, like SNAP's income and work requirements,¹¹ limit participation. For many, SNAP remains inaccessible and insufficient to meet their needs, leaving them with little choice but to turn to an already overburdened and under-resourced CFS.

Food insecurity and inadequate nutrition often co-exist in populations with low incomes, leading many to conceptualize food insecurity as a social welfare problem. Food insecurity is better framed as a public health issue: adults and children in food insecure households are at greater risk of developing chronic disease and illness than adults and children in food secure households.¹²

People who rely on the CFS both want and deserve nutritious food and beverages that support their health.¹³ Yet a 2018 report

1

by MAZON: A Jewish Response to Hunger and the Rudd Center for Food Policy and Obesity found that, on average, 25 percent of food bank distributions remain unhealthy.¹⁴ Many food banks have adopted nutrition policies to encourage healthier donations and discourage donations of "junk food," such as candy and soda, and one in seven formally ban certain unhealthy items.¹⁵ Yet even food banks with formal bans still struggle with unwanted donations and insufficient donations of healthy food.

Improving the nutritional quality of CFS offerings requires prioritizing nutrition across all donation streams. Food banks receive most of their inventory from the food industry (retailers, distributors, manufacturers, farmers, growers, hotels, and restaurants) (60 percent) or the government (23 percent) and purchase the rest.¹⁶ Federal and state public policies impact both industry and government donation streams. Therefore, policy interventions have great potential to shape the nutritional quality of CFS food.

We conducted research to determine how federal and state governments can better leverage public policy to increase the amount of nutritious food and decrease the amount of unhealthy food donated to food banks.¹⁷

Our methods were: 1) a policy scan of the laws, regulations, and administrative decisions affecting food donations to food banks, sorting these policies into ten categories, and analysis of whether the policies support or hinder nutritious donations; and 2) structured key informant interviews (KIIs) of food bank executives, food retail company executives, and other CFS stakeholders and subject matter experts on eight of the policy categories'¹⁸ roles in encouraging or hindering nutritious donation, and challenges and barriers to donation.

We found that of 295 laws, regulations, and administrative decisions related to the CFS—42 federal and 253 state—only 43 (14.6 percent) prioritize nutritious food donation over unhealthy food donation.

KII interviewees reported that:

• USDA Food Distribution Programs and state direct-

spending programs largely provide nutritious food.

- Organic waste bans have either a positive or neutral impact on the nutritional quality of donations.
- Date labeling policies hinder the amount of nutritious, packaged food that donors send to the CFS.
- Tax incentives have either a neutral or unclear impact on donation generally and nutritious donation specifically.
- Game donation policies promote nutritious donation but food banks only receive these donations in modest quantities or not at all.
- Policies impacting school donation have little impact on nutritious donation because food banks receive a small amount of food via school donation.
- Food safety policies are inadequate in providing regulatory guidance on food donation and strengthening them could boost donation, particularly of often-nutritious, highly perishable food.
- Liability protection policies encourage donation of all food, regardless of nutritional quality.

Informed by the KIIs, we formed 18 public policy recommendations to improve the proportion of healthy food donated to the CFS ranging from improvements to federal food assistance programs to amending regulations governing food safety. The three most impactful policy reforms, because they would result in high volumes of nutritious donations, would be to:

The three most impactful policy reforms...

- 1. **Strengthen** the nutritional quality of United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Distribution Programs, which include the Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP), the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP), and the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR);
- 2. **Introduce or strengthen** government farm-to-food bank programs; and
- 3. **Implement** additional state-level organic waste bans.

We encourage legislators and advocates to use the following policy recommendations to ensure that CFS clients have sufficient access to quality, nutritious food.



Endnotes

- 1 Feeding America. *Feeding America Estimates at Least 60 Million People Turned to Charitable Food Assistance in* 2020. September 1, 2021. <u>https://www.feedingamerica.org/about-us/press-room/60-million-turned-to-charitable-food-2020</u>. Accessed October 28, 2021.
- 2 Morello P. *The food bank response to COVID, by the numbers*. Feeding America. March 12, 2021. <u>https://www.feedingamerica.org/hunger-blog/food-bank-response-covid-numbers</u>. Accessed October 6, 2021.
- 3 Coleman-Jensen A, et al. *Household Food Security in the United States in 2020*. 2021. United States Department of Agriculture. <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/102076/err-298.pdf?v=9642.8</u>. Accessed November 22, 2021.
- 4 Coleman-Jensen, 2021.
- 5 Coleman-Jensen A, et al. *Household Food Security in the United States in 2019*. 2020. <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/</u> webdocs/publications/99282/err-275.pdf?v=4580.7. Accessed September 28, 2021; Coleman-Jensen, 2021.
- 6 Coleman-Jensen, 2021.
- 7 Feeding America. *The charitable sector can't solve hunger alone*, n.d. <u>https://www.feedingamerica.org/sites/</u> <u>default/files/2020-03/Feeding%20America%20orange%20slice%20AHPC%202020.pdf</u>. Accessed June 23, 2021.
- 8 Food Research & Action Center. SNAP Benefits Need to Be Made Adequate, Not Cut or Restricted. February 2018. <u>https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/snap-food-choice.pdf</u>. Accessed January 15, 2020; Feeding America. Map the Meal Gap 2020. 2020. <u>https://map.feedingamerica.org/</u>. Accessed December 19, 2020.
- 9 United States Department of Agriculture. USDA Modernizes the Thrifty Food Plan, Updates SNAP Benefits. August 16, 2021. <u>https://www.usda.gov/media/press-releases/2021/08/16/usda-modernizes-thrifty-food-plan-updates-snap-benefits.</u> Accessed September 28, 2021.
- 10 United States Department of Agriculture. *Thrifty Food Plan Re-Evaluation Puts Nutrition in Reach for SNAP Participants*. August 30, 2021. <u>https://www.usda.gov/media/blog/2021/08/30/thrifty-food-plan-re-evaluation-puts-nutrition-reach-snap-participants</u>. Accessed September 28, 2021.
- 11 Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. *A Quick Guide to SNAP Eligibility and Benefits*. September 1, 2020. https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/11-18-08fa.pdf. Accessed December 19, 2020.
- 12 Gregory C, Coleman-Jensen A. Food Insecurity, Chronic Disease, and Healthy Among Working-Age Adults. United States Department of Agriculture. July 2017. <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/84467/err-235.pdf.</u> Accessed June 23, 2021; Gundersen C, Ziliak JP. Food Insecurity and Health Outcomes. *Health Affairs*. 2015; 34(11):1830-1839; Weinreb, et al. Hunger: Its Impact on Children's Health and Mental Health. *Pediatrics*. 2002; 110(4):e41; Skalicky, et al. Child Food

Insecurity & Iron Deficiency Anemia in Low-Income Infants & Toddlers in the US. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2006; 10(2):177-185.

- 13 Campbell, et al. Food Preferences of Users of the Emergency Food System. *Journal of Hunger & Environmental Nutrition*. 2011; 6:2, 179-187. Herein, "food" will be used for brevity, but refers to both foods and beverages.
- 14 Feldman M, Schwartz MB, PhD. *A Tipping Point*. MAZON: A Jewish Response to Hunger. 2018. <u>https://mazon.org/wp-content/uploads/MAZON-Report-TippingPoint.pdf</u> Accessed December 19, 2020.
- 15 Feldman, 2018.
- 16 Feldman, 2018.
- 17 The aim is to accomplish these goals without increasing the volume of food waste generated in food systems, but rather by capturing more of the available but currently wasted food.
- 18 We did not ask interviewees questions about policies in two categories grant programs and policies authorizing donation of certain food(s) because policies in these categories were too disparate.